

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USBERL 02601 01 OF 03 191541Z

45

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SAM-01 SAJ-01 ACDA-05 IO-10 CIAE-00

DODE-00 PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01

PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 EURE-00 EB-07 DOTE-00 /083 W

----- 100140

O P 191450Z DEC 75

FM USMISSION USBERLIN

TO AMEMBASSY BONN IMMEDIATE

INFO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 1804

AMEMBASSY BERLIN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY PARIS

USMISSION NATO

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 1 OF 3 USBERLIN 2601

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, PGOV, WB, GE, GW

SUBJECT: INNER-GERMAN TRANSIT PACKAGE--A PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT

REFS: A) BONN 20429; B) BONN 20378; C) BONN 20375; D) BONN 20315 NOTAL;

E) USBERLIN 2537

1. SUMMARY: FULLER ANALYSIS OF INNER-GERMAN PACKAGE
WILL HAVE TO BE DEFERRED UNTIL THERE HAS BEEN OP-
PORTUNITY TO REVIEW ALL DOCUMENTS. CERTAIN PLUSSES
AND MINUSES, HOWEVER, ARE ALREADY DISCERNIBLE. ON
THE WHOLE, PACKAGE IS GOOD THING FOR BERLIN SINCE
IT PROMISES TO IMPROVE TRAVEL CONDITIONS AND
DEMONSTRATES CONTINUING FRG SUPPORT. REFERNCES TO
TRANSIT TRAFFIC AGREEMENT (TTA) OBTAINED BY FRG
SHOULD REDUCE POSSIBILITIES OF DIFFICULTIES ARISING
ONCE NEW FACILITIES ARE OPERATIVE AND OBIATE SOME OF
PROBLEMS THAT MIGHT OTHERWISE EXIST IN CONNECTION
WITH HOW AT LEAST BULK OF AGREEMENT IS LEGALLY TO BE
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USBERL 02601 01 OF 03 191541Z

APPLIED IN BERLIN. THOSE PARTS OF PACKAGE INVOLVING

REICHSBAHN ACTIVITIES IN WESTERN SECTORS, HOWEVER, APPEAR TO BE SERIOUSLY AT VARIANCE WITH TRADITIONAL ALLIED POSITION ON STATUS OF RAILWAYS AND RAIL PROPERTY AND TO OFFER GDR ROOM FOR CONSIDERABLE MISCHIEF IN IMPLEMENTATION. WE BELIEVE ALLIES WILL HAVE TO ISSUE BK/O BOTH TO MAINTAIN LEGAL POSITION AND TO SET GUIDELINES FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS. WE ARE ALSO CONCERNED THAT FRG-ALLIED CONSULTATION PROCEDURE APPEARED TO BREAK DOWN BADLY AND BELIEVE THAT IT WOULD BE PRUDENT FOR ALLIES TO TAKE SUBJECT UP WITH FRG AT APPROPRIATELY HIGH LEVEL WITH A VIEW TO AVOIDING REPETITION. END SUMMARY.

2. FIRST THING TO BE SAID ABOUT PACKAGE AGREEMENT THAT APPARENTLY WILL BE FINALIZED DECEMBER 19 IS THAT IT WILL PROVIDE PRACTICAL BENEFITS TO CITY. IT PROMISES TO MAKE TRAVEL EASIER, QUICKER AND MORE CONVENIENT FOR BERLINERS. IT WILL ALSO BE SEEN BY BERLINERS AS CONCRETE REAFFIRMATION OF FRG'S CONTINUING DETERMINATION TO SUPPORT CITY AND MAINTAIN AND DEVELOP TIES. WITH ALL OUR CONCERNS FOR FORM AND LEGALISMS, THESE ARE IMPORTANT POINTS THAT SHOULD NOT BE LOST SIGHT OF.

3. FRG ALSO APPEARS TO HAVE ACHIEVED COMPROMISE RESULT WELL WITHIN REALM OF ACCEPTABLE ON ISSUE OF HOW IMPROVEMENTS ARE TO BE FOLDED INTO TRANSIT REGIME. GDR'S STATEMENT ON TTA WITH RESPECT TO AUTOBAHN AND BERLINER RING CONSTRUCTION IS MASTERPIECE OF AMBIGUITY, BUT FRG'S UNREBUTTED STATEMENT IS CLEAR AND SUFFICIENT, AND JOINT STATEMENTS ON STAAKEN CROSSING POINT ARE GOOD. ANY FUTURE GDR ASSERTIONS THAT NEW FACILITIES FALL UNDER REGIME OTHER THAN THAT ESTABLISHED BY QA AND TTA WOULD NOW BE MUCH LESS THAN CREDIBLE. ONE POINT TO MAKE NOTE OF FOR FUTURE, HOWEVER, IS THAT NEW CROSSING POINT IN NORTH OF CITY THAT IS TO GO INTO OPERATION NEXT YEAR IS NOT TO BE USED FOR BERLIN-FRG TRANSIT TRAFFIC UNTIL SUCH TIME AS NEW HAMBURG AUTOBAHN IS NEGOTIATED AND BUILT. AT THAT TIME, HOWEVER, IT WILL BE NECESSARY TO PIN DOWN THAT CROSSING

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USBERL 02601 01 OF 03 191541Z

POINT, AS WELL AS AUTOBAHN ITSELF, AS PART OF TTA SYSTEM. RELATIONSHIP OF TELTOW CANAL TO TRANSIT REGIME HAS OF COURSE BEEN DEFERRED TO MUCH LATER POINT AT WHICH GDR-SENTAT TECHNICAL TALKS ON REOPENING HAVE MADE SOME PROGRESS.

4. SIDE BENEFIT OF ADEQUATE TTA REFERENCES IS THAT SOME AT LEAST OF PREVIOUSLY FORESEEN DIFFICULTIES

ON HOW AGREEMENTS COULD BE CONSIDERED TO BE LEGALLY
IN EFFECT IN BERLIN HAVE BEEN OBIVIATED. WE SHOULD BE
ABLE TO CONSIDER THAT TTA REFERENCES, WITH IMPLICIT
CITATION BACK ALSO TO QA, PROVIDE ADEQUATE EXPLANATION FOR
HOW THOSE PARTS OF PACKAGE APPLY IN BERLIN WITHOUT
FORMAL BERLIN CLAUSE OR SUBMISSION TO AK PURSUANT TO
PROCEDURES OF BK/L(73)21 (WHICH IS EQUIVALENT FOR INNER-
GERMAN ARRANGEMENTS OF BKC/L(52)6 PROCEDRES FOR
INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS). THERE STILL ARE PROBLEMS
AS TO EFFECT TOTALITY OF PACKAGE HAS ON RIGHT OF FRG
TO REPRESENT BERLIN'S INTERESTS WITH GDR AND IN
TO CONCLUDE ITS OWN INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS. GDR WILL VIEW DOUBLE
NOTIFICATION DEVICE USED THROUGHOUT PACKAGE AS CONFIRMATION THAT,
REGARDLESS OF WHO NEGOTIATED FOR WESTERN SIDE, CONSTITUTIVE
ACTS ARE WITH SENAT WITH RESPECT TO APPLICATION OF ANY
AGREEMENT IN BERLIN. ALLIED PROCEDURES FOR CONTROLLING
AND LIMITING SENAT'S TECHNICAL DISCUSSIONS WITH GDR,
CONTAINED IN BK/L(73)46, HAVE BEEN SOMEWHAT WEAKENED
BY FACT THAT, PURSUANT TO SCENARIO WORKED OUT BY
FRG AND GDR, SENAT WILL RECEIVE AND TRANSMIT DECEMBER
19 COMMUNICATIONS THAT WERE NEVER EFFECTIVELY SCRU-
TINIZED MUCH LESS AUTHORIZED BY KOMMANDATURA. WE
RECOGNIZE CERTAIN LOGIC IN FRG REP GELHOFF'S REMARKS
(REF B), HOWEVER, THAT THERE ARE TIMES WHEN PROCEDURAL
CORRECTNESS CAN BE SACRIFICED FOR PRACTICAL
ADVANTAGE. IF THERE IS LOSER IN THIS AREA IT IS IN
ANY EVENT FRG WHICH MAY FIND IT HAS GIVEN UP SOME GROUND
IN LONG TERM IN ITS ABILITY TO OBTAIN EASTERN AC-
CEPTANCE OF ITS RIGHT TO REPRESENT CITY AND INCLUDE
IT IN ITS INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS. IF FRG IS CON-
TENT WITH TRADE-OFF, WE CAN DEFER WITH FAIR EASE
BECAUSE EXPLICIT ALLIED RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES
ARE NOT THEREBY DEROGATED. WE WILL SEEK TO
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 USBERL 02601 01 OF 03 191541Z

DISCOURAGE FRENCH LOCALLY FROM MAKING TOO MUCH OF A
FUSS ABOUT FORMALISTIC AFTER-THE-FACT KOMMANDATURA
RATIFICATIONS OF APPROVALS ALREADY PROVIDED BY THREE
EMBASSIES.

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USBERL 02601 02 OF 03 191557Z

45

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SAM-01 SAJ-01 ACDA-05 IO-10 CIAE-00

DODE-00 PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01

PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 EURE-00 EB-07 DOTE-00 /083 W

----- 100319

O P 191450Z DEC 75

FM USMISSION USBERLIN

TO AMEMBASSY BONN IMMEDIATE

INFO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 1805

AMEMBASSY BERLIN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY PARIS

USMISSION NATO

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 2 OF 3 USBERLIN 2601

5. WE ARE LESS RELAXED ABOUT REICHSBAHN ASPECT OF PACKAGE. REF E REPORTED TRIPARTITE MISSION CONCERN THAT GDR TRANSPORT MINISTRY TO BUNDESBahn COMMUNICATION ON STAACKEN CROSSING POINT AND CONSTRUCTION AT BAHNHOF SPANDAU, CHARLOTTENBURG AND WANNSEE PREJUDICED CONSISTENT ALLIED POSITION THAT REICHSBAHN HAS ONLY TECHNICAL OPERATING LICENSE IN WESTERN SECTORS AND GDR AS SUCH HAS NO ROLE AT ALL. OUR CONCERNS HAVE BEEN MAGNIFIED ON DISCOVERY DECEMBER 16 THAT THERE TO BE FOUR COMMUNICATIONS ON SUBJECT TWO OF WHICH WILL GO DIRECTLY FROM GDR FOREIGN MINISTRY TO SENAT AND THAT GDR FOREIGN MINISTRY REP WILL ALSO TRANSMIT DETAILED CONSTRUCTION PLANS AND MAKE STATEMENT THAT "COMPETENT GDR AUTHORITIES" WILL PUBLISH NOTICES IN WEST BERLIN AND LET CONTRACTS. ALSO, FRG PRESS STATEMENTS WILL REFER TO GDR, NOT REICHSBAHN. ALLIES HAVE RATHER SUCCESSFULLY AND CONSISTENTLY REBUFFED MANY PREVIOUS EFFORTS BY GDR TO OBTAIN ACCEPTANCE OF SUCH A CLEAR STATEMENT OF ITS COMPETENCE. AS ONE RECENT EXAMPLE, ADDRESSEES MAY RECALL THAT CONCLUSION OF SUEDEGELAENDE CONSTRUCTION CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USBERL 02601 02 OF 03 191557Z

PROJECT WAS DELAYED MORE THAN A YEAR UNTIL, EARLY IN 1974, SUITABLE FORMULA WAS OBTAINED THAT REMOVED REFERENCES TO GDR IN AGREEMENT CONCLUDED BY SENAT WITH REICHSBAHN. EVEN THEN ALLIES FELT IT NECESSARY TO ISSUE BK/L AND PUBLIC STATEMENT REAFFIRMING LEGAL

STATUS OF REICHSBAHN PROPERTY LEST AGREEMENT BE SEEN
AS SUPPORT FOR GDR CONTENTION THAT IT HAS SOVEREIGN
CONTROL OF THAT PROPERTY.

6. OUR DIFFICULTIES OVER REICHSBAHN ASPECTS OF AGREE-
MENT GO BEYOND REAFFIRMATION OF LEGAL POSITION, HOWEVER.
UNTIL LAST MOMENT WE HAD NOT BEEN AWARE JUST HOW
EXTENSIVE CONSTRUCTION IS THAT GERMANS ARE PLANNING
IN CONNECTION WITH REOPENING STAAKEN CROSSING POINT
(PART OF WHICH IS ON WESTERN SIDE) AND REVAMPING
SPANDAU, CHARLOTTENBURG AND WANNSEE STATIONS.
EXPLICIT GDR STATEMENTS THAT IT WILL HANDLE WORK
SUGGESTS THAT, UNLESS LIMITS ARE SET BY ALLIES, GDR
HAS HERE CONSIDERABLE OPPORTUNITY TO FUNCTION OPENLY
IN WESTERN SECTORS AS GDR, WITHOUT REICHSBAHN COVER,
IN ORDER TO DRIVE STATUS POINT HOME TO WEST BERLINERS,
FOR EXAMPLE, THROUGH NOTICES IT HAS PROMISED TO PUBLISH,
CONTRACTS IT WILL LET, SIGNS IT WILL POST AT CON-
STRUCTION SITES, ETC.

7. OUR CONCERN OVER POSSIBLE IMPLICATIONS IN ALL THIS
FLOWS FROM ASSUMPTIONS THAT WE BELIEVE ARE AS VALID TODAY
AS EVER:

--RAILWAY AFFAIRS ARE PARTICULARLY SENSITIVE BECAUSE
THEY OFFER GDR UNIQUE OPPORTUNITY TO ASSERT SOVEREIGNTY
IN HEART OF WEST BERLIN.

--IT IS FAR EASIER FOR ALLIES TO ASSERT CONTROL OVER
TECHNICAL AUTHORITY SUCH AS REICHSBAHN AND REBUFF
ATTEMPTS TO CLAIM EXTRATERRITORIAL SOVEREIGN RIGHTS
THAT IT WOULD BE TO ATTEMPT SAME THING VIS-A-VIS
LEGITIMIZED GDR PRESENCE;

--ANY INCREASE IN ACCEPTANCE OF GDR ROLE IN REICHSBAHN
MATTERS IN WESTERN SECTORS, THEREFORE, WOULD BE AT
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USBERL 02601 02 OF 03 191557Z

EXPENSE OF ALLIED RIGHTS AND ALLIED CONTROL AND
REPRESENT AS CONSEQUENCE DIRECT THREAT TO SECURITY
OF CIT.

8. WE THEREFORE BELIEVE THAT IT IS NECESSARY TO ISSUE
RATHER QUICKLY UPON CONCLUSION OF INNER-GERMAN AGREE-
MENT A PUBLIC DOCUMENT, PRESUMABLY A BK/O, THAT WOULD
PROTECT OUR LEGAL POSITION FROM EROSION AS RESULT OF
GDR COMMUNICATIONS ACCEPTED BY SENAT AND THAT WOULD
ALSO SET GUIDELINES TO ENSURE THAT IMPLEMENTATION OF
CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS WILL NOT RESULT IN NEWLY
LEGITIMIZED GDR ROLE IN WESTERN SECTORS. WHILE WE

ARE STILL RESEARCHING SUBJECT, WE FEEL WE ARE ON STRONG
GROUND IN ASSERTING TIGHT CONTROLS OVER IMPLEMENTATION.
ALLIES HAVE LEGAL CONTROL OVER ALL REICHSBAHN PROPERTY
THROUGH SHAEF LAW NO. 52 AND HAVE REGULARLY INSISTED
UPON AND RECEIVED GDR COMPLIANCE WITH BK/O(49)217
WHICH REQUIRES REICHSBAHN TO OBTAIN CONSENT OF SECTOR
COMMANDANT BEFORE REMOVING "FIXTURES, OR ANY PART
THEREOF, ON OR IN REICHSBAHN PROPERTY BERLIN OR ANY
PROPERTY OR EQUIPMENT WHICH IS NECESSARY FOR THE
PROPER OPERATION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THE RAILWAYS
WITHIN A SECTOR." AS A RESULT OF THIS CONTROL
REICHSBAHN HAS FREQUENTLY COMMUNICATED DIRECTLY WITH
RELEVANT ALLIED MISSION SEEKING PERMISSION TO REMOVE
PROPERTY OR CARRY OUT RELATIVELY MINOR CONSTRUCTION.
AS EXAMPLE, REICHSBAHN SOUGHT AND OBTAINED PERMISSION
FROM U.S. MISSION TO CARRY OUT CONSTRUCTION AND
IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS AT WANNSEE STATION IN 1973.
BEFORE PERMISSION WAS GRANTED U.S. MILITARY EXPERTS
INSPECTED SITE TO ENSURE THAT THERE WOULD BE NO
IMPAIRMENT OF U.S. RAIL OPERATIONS.

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USBERL 02601 03 OF 03 191603Z

45

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SAM-01 SAJ-01 ACDA-05 IO-10 CIAE-00

DODE-00 PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01

PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 EURE-00 EB-07 DOTE-00 /083 W

----- 100404

O P 191450Z DEC 75

FM USMISSION USBERLIN

TO AMEMBASSY BONN IMMEDIATE

INFO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 1806

AMEMBASSY BERLIN UNN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY PARIS

USMISSION NATO

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 3 OF 3 USBERLIN 2601

9. OUR INITIAL INCLINATION IS TO SEEK ALLIED CONCURRENCE FOR ISSUANCE EARLY WEEK OF DECEMBER 22 OF BK/O THAT MIGHT INCLUDE FOLLOWING POINTS:

--KOMMANDATURA NOTES STATEMENTS MADE BY A REPRESENTATIVE OF GDR TO SENAT THAT GDR IS PREPARED TO SEE TRANSIT PASSENGER TRAINS AGAIN UTILIZE THE STAAKEN CROSSING POINT AND MAKE ADDITIONAL STOPS AT SPANDAU, CHARLOTTENBURG AND WANNSEE STATIONS. (WE WOULD PROPOSE NOT TO ADDRESS GDR STATEMENTS ON CONSTRUCTION DIRECTLY.)

--KOMMANDATURA IS AGREEABLE IN PRINCIPLE TO DEUTSCHE REICHSBAHN UNDERTAKING CONSTRUCTION WORK IN WESTERN SECTORS THAT WILL BE NECESSARY TO PERMIT THESE STOPS AND TO REOPEN STAAKEN CROSSING POINT TO PASSENGER TRAIN TRAFFIC.

--ALLIED RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES, INCLUDING ALL RELEVANT ALLIED LEGISLATION, MAY NOT BE AFFECTED
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USBERL 02601 03 OF 03 191603Z

THEREBY.

--REICHSBAHN MUST SUBMIT PLANS AND CONTRACTS TO APPROPRIATE ALLIED AUTHORITIES FOR APPROVAL.

--. CONSTRUCTION MUST BE CARRIED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS IN FORCE IN WESTERN SECTORS, AND ALLIED AUTHORITIES OR GERMAN AUTHORITIES ACTING ON BEHALF OF ALLIED AUTHORITIES WILL CARRY OUT SUCH INSPECTIONS AS MAY BE REQUIRED.

10. WE WOULD ALSO ENVISAGE INSTRUCTING SENAT, PERHAPS BY BK/L, TO TAKE STEPS TO ENSURE THAT REICHSBAHN IS AWARE OF BK/O AND NEED TO FOLLOW IT AS WELL AS OF NEED TO AVOID ANY DEMONSTRATIVE GDR ACTIONS, SUCH AS EFFORTS TO PUBLISH NOTICES WITH UNACCEPTABLE GDR CLAIMS IN WEST BERLIN OR TO HAVE GDR OFFICIALS NOT CONNECTED WITH REICHSBAHN VISIT CONSTRUCTION SITE.

11. WHILE WE BELIEVE THAT THERE IS SOLID BASIS IN PRECEDENT FOR TIGHT ALLIED CONTROL, PRESENT PROJECT IS BOTH MORE EXTENSIVE AND MORE IN PUBLIC EYE THAN ANYTHING WE ARE AWARE OF IN PAST. IT CANNOT BE EXCLUDED, THEREFORE, THAT GDR WILL CHOOSE TO VIEW SUCH A BK/O AS IMPEDIMENT TO ORDERLY IMPLEMENTATION OF AGREEMENT ALREADY WORKED OUT WITH FRG. NOVELTY AND SCOPE OF PROJECT AND FACT THAT AGREEMENT NEGOTIATED BY GERMANS STARTS US OFF WITH GROUND TO MAKE UP FOR

ON STATUS QUESTIONS, HOWEVER, LEAD US TO CONCLUDE THAT
TIGHT AND PUBLIC ALLIED SUPERVISION IS REQUIRED, BEYOND
THAT WHICH MIGHT HAVE BEEN SUFFICIENT IF THIS PART OF
AGREEMENT HAD BEEN CONCLUDED, AS ORIGINALLY FORESEEN,

ONLY BY REICHSBAHN.

12. FINAL POINT WE WOULD MENTION CONCERNS MANNER IN
WHICH FRG CONSULTED WITH ALLIES ON THIS PACKAGE.
IT IS OUR IMPRESSION THAT CONSULTATION WAS PIECEMENT,
FORMALISTIC AND INADEQUATE. CERTAINLY WE NEVER HAD
OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT TIMELY VIEWS ON REICHSBAHN
ASPECTS OF AGREEMENT FULL DETAILS ON WHICH WE HAVE NOT
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USBERL 02601 03 OF 03 191603Z

EVEN AS YET RECEIVED. WE APPRECIATE THAT MUCH OF THIS
CAN PROBABLY BE TRACED TO CHANCELLOR'S PERSONAL
STYLE AND, ON MORE GENERAL LEVEL, TO GROWING SELF-
CONFIDENCE OF FRG. LATTER ELEMENT HAS ITS POSITIVE
ASPECTS, AND WE WOULD AGREE THAT FULL CONSULTATIONS
WITH ALLIES IS NOT ALWAYS AS RELEVANT TODAY AS IT
ONCE WAS. THERE REMAIN, HOWEVER, REAL U.S. AND ALLIED
INTERESTS IN BERLIN WHERE OUR PERCEPTION OF WHAT IS
AT STAKE MAY NOT BE EXACTLY SAME AS FRG. REICHSBAHN
MATTER IS CLEAR EXAMPLE. WE BELIEVE IT IS ESSENTIAL
THAT ALLIES IN FUTURE HAVE OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT THOSE
VIEWS TO FRG NEGOTIATORS SO THAT, IF NECESSARY, ALLIED

INTERESTS CAN BE PROTECTED OR AT LEAST GIVEN DUE
CONSIDERATION BY FRG. SENAT CLEARLY CONSIDERS ITS
HANDS TIED BY BONN, AND REF A NOTES DIFFICULTIES EVEN
FRG FOREIGN OFFICE FACES. WE WOULD HOPE, HOWEVER, THAT
CONSULTATION ISSUE CAN BE RAISED AT APPROPRIATE LEVEL
IN FRG BEFORE NEXT ROUND OF INNER-GERMAN NEGOTIATIONS.
IF IT IS NOT, WE WOULD THINK THERE WOULD BE REAL
LIKELIHOOD THAT THIS WEEK'S EXCEPTIONAL PROCEDURE WILL
BECOME TOMORROW'S NORMAL PROCEDURE, AND OPPORTUNITY
FOR US TO PROTECT U.S. OR ALLIED POSITIONS IN APPROPRIATE
MANNER WOULD BE SERIOUSLY LESSENED. GEORGE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: BERLIN QUADRIpartite MATTERS, SOCIAL RECEPTIONS, NEGOTIATIONS, BERLIN ACCESS CONTINGENCY PLANNING, BERLIN HIGHWAY ACCESS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 19 DEC 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: ElyME
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975USBERL02601
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750441-1162
From: USBERLIN
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19751226/aaaaawmx.tel
Line Count: 428
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 8
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 75 BONN 20429, 75 BONN 20378, 75 BONN 20375, 75 BONN 20315
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: ElyME
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 27 MAY 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <27 MAY 2003 by WorrelSW>; APPROVED <22 OCT 2003 by ElyME>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: INNER-GERMAN TRANSIT PACKAGE--A PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT
TAGS: PFOR, PGOV, WB, GC, GE
To: BONN
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006